

#### Indian Institute of Technology Ropar

Rupnagar, Punjab – 140 001

#### Mukesh Saini (Assistant Professor, CSE)

## Automatic Movie Making





## Demo Video

#### Input Videos

#### **Output Mashup**



# How to synchronize videos?

## Obtain fingerprint of the audio and match the fingerprints!



# How do human editors choose video?

- 1. Remove videos with bad view quality!
  - Shakiness, occlusion, tilt
- 2. Create a composition of videos taken from multiple perspectives!
  - Angle, distance

### Shakiness

Step 1: Camera pan-tilt

Step 2: Filtered motion

Step 3: Moves

Step 4: Normalization

40

20

-20

-40

-60

-80<sup>L</sup> 0

20



## Shakiness Results

 $\mathcal{S} = c + \beta_p * MPF + \beta_t * MTF + \beta_f * \psi + \beta_m * \mu$ 



## Occlusion

 $I^{e}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if edge is detected at pixel } I(x,y) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

 $I^{p}(x',y') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the sum of edge densities in the} \\ & \text{patch } (x',y') \text{ is greater than threshold} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 



 $f = \frac{\text{No of 1 patches}}{\text{Total number of patches}}$ 

 $I^d = I^e \odot W$ 





### Tilt/Rotation





#### Learning from Human Editors



- Goal: Learn the rules along with uncertainty
- Probabilistic framework
- Hidden Markov Model
  - State variables: D, A
  - Observable:  $\delta$

### HMM

#### **Transition Matrix**

#### **Emission Matrix**

	$\mathcal{CN}$	$\mathcal{CF}$	$\mathcal{RN}$	$\mathcal{RF}$	$\mathcal{LN}$	$\mathcal{LF}$		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\mathcal{CN}$	( 0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	$\mathcal{CN}$	/1/31	2/31	4/31	7/31	7/31	6/31	4/31
$\mathcal{CF}$	0.6	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	$\mathcal{CF}$	3/12	4/12	2/12	1/12	1/12	1/12	0
$\mathcal{RN}$	0.5	0.1	0	0.1	0.2	0.1	$\mathcal{RN}$	2/15	3/15	4/15	3/15	2/15	1/15	0
$\mathcal{RF}$	0.2	0.2	0.4	0	0.1	0.1	$\mathcal{RF}$	3/10	4/10	2/10	1/10	0	0	0
$\mathcal{LN}$	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0	0.1	$\mathcal{LN}$	2/15	3/15	4/15	3/15	2/15	1/15	0
$\mathcal{LF}$	$\setminus 0.2$	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0 /	$\mathcal{LF}$	(3/10)	4/10	2/10	1/10	0	0	0 /

- 1. Start  $S_0 = CN$
- 2. Choose  $\delta$  by sampling  $P(\delta/S_t)$
- 3. Choose  $S_{t+1}$  by sampling  $P(S_{t+1}/S_t)$

4. Repeat 2-3 forever

## MASHUP Framework



## **Evaluation Dataset**

P1: Group dance (12 videos)

P2: Group dance (12 videos)

> P3: Solo song ( 5 videos)







Link - http://www.jiku.org/datasets.html

[1] The Jiku mobile video dataset. **ACM MMSy**s,2013.

### Results

"How likely will you recommend the video to a friend?"



[\*] MoViMash: Online Mobile Video Mashup, **ACM MM** 2012, (IF=1.22).

#### Multimedia Fatigue Detection for Adaptive Infotainment User Interface

Sultan Alhazmi<sup>1</sup>, **Mukesh Saini**<sup>12</sup>, Abdulmotaleb El Saddik<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science University of Ottawa, Canada <sup>2</sup>New York University Abu Dhabi User Interfaces are designed to facilitate interaction between human and machine!

I nudge you and speak louder when you are drowsy!

## Why same UI irrespective of different user states?

# Around 30% of accidents are due to driver fatigue!

Purpose: Modulating the infotainment system user interface according to the fatigue level of the driver.



#### Fatigue Detection Approaches

- 1- Computer vision
- 2- Physiological signs analysis
- 3- Driving performance

#### Four performance cues and four context parameters!

#### Performance cues

- 1. Steering wheel angular velocity
- 2. Grip force
- 3. Brake pedal
- 4. Gas pedal

#### Contextual cues

- 1. Time of the day
- 2. Traffic
- 3. Weather
- 4. Time on task

# Context and performance cues are combined using a Bayesian Network

- 1. works with limited features and training data
  - 2. works with partial information



#### **Experiment:** Apparatus



(a) Ardino microcontroller



(c) Force Sensing Resistor



(b) Steering Wheel and pedals



(d) Zephyr HxM BT

#### Experiment Protocol Users drove for one hour and rated fatigue levels on KSS!



# Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS) Level Description 1 Extremely alert

T	Extremely alert	
2	Very alert	
3	Alert	
4	Rather alert	
5	Neither alert or sleepy	
6	Some signs of sleepiness	
7	Sleepy but no effort to stay awake	
8	Sleepy, some effort to stay awake	
9	Very sleepy, great effort to stay awake	

#### The average of grip force for the users

8

8



#### Average power on brake pedal



# Accuracy for different cue combinations

Single cu	.e	Two cues		Three cues			
Media streams	Result	Media streams	Result	Media streams	Result		
Steering wheel	74	Steering wheel and Gas pedal	81	Steering wheel and Gas pedal and Brake pedal	87		
Gas pedal	69	Steering wheel and Brake pedal	-84-	Steering wheel and Gas pedal and Grip force	<del>-90-</del>		
Brake pedal	67	Steering wheel and Grip force	89	Steering wheel and Brake pedal and Grip force	93		
Grip force	71	Brake pedal and Gas pedal	77	Brake pedal and Gas pedal and Grip force	78		
		Grip force and Gas pedal	67				
		Grip force and Brake pedal	88				

#### Individual Vs Combined Accuracy





## **UI** Adaption

Property	Type	Intrusion Level	Control
Text	Visual	Low	Discrete
Colour	Visual	Low	Continuous
Illumination	Visual	Low	Continuous
Sound	Auditory	Medium	Continuous
Orientation	Visual	High	Discrete
Layout	Visual	Medium	Discrete
Haptic	Physical	High	Discrete
Tactile	Physical	High	Discrete

## Conclusions

- Infotainment user interfaces should be adapted according to the driver fatigue level!
- Multiple cues improve fatigue detection accuracy!
- Bayesian networks are appropriate for fatigue detection and UI modulation!

#### Video Storytelling with OSN Data

